

Thank you for your kind attention this evening. As public comment is permitted through July 12, please permit the following addition.

* While **urban services** does indeed include water and sewer, it also (per statute) includes governmental services such as county staff administering building permits, including numerous inspections, visitation for inspection of sanitation systems by the health district, fire protection and other services that originate in urban areas. Many of these services are 52 miles away (one way) in Newport. As mentioned in my comment letter submitted earlier today, the cost for jurisdictions in servicing rural farm and forestland is \$0.31 per dollar collected in tax revenue. The cost for serving residential development is \$1.21 per household for that same income. *So growth for growth's sake doesn't necessarily mean more income for County operations.*

* Mr. Cash stated that his associate Mr. Snow researched and prepared data from the Office of Financial Management showing POC's slight amount of agricultural activity, and very few people employed in agriculture within the County. He said only 54 individuals were employed in agriculture here. Please see a fuller profile of the same data below, showing the category includes those employed in forestry, fishing and hunting as well. Those 54, are either terribly well paid, or there's a mistake in interpreting data. Additionally, it should be noted that often those who live off the land rely on family, and don't pay a wage. So it's very hard to accurately estimate.

PEND OREILLE

AVERAGE MONTHLY EMPLOYMENT AND TOTAL WAGES IN COVERED EMPLOYMENT - CY 2014

<u>Industry</u>	<u>Employers</u>	<u>Av. # of Employees</u>	<u>Percent of Total</u>	<u>Wages Paid</u>	<u>Percent of Total</u>
Agric., Forestry, Fishing & Hunting	16	54	1.9	\$2,027,193	1.7
Mining	*	*	*	*	*
Utilities	--	--	--	--	--
Construction	42	102	3.7	3,362,624	2.9
Manufacturing	7	225	8.1	17,608,658	15.1
Wholesale/Retail Trade	34	240	8.6	5,355,355	4.6
Transp. & Warehousing	3	20	0.7	969,354	0.8
Information	8	52	1.9	1,102,071	0.9
Fin., Ins., & Real Estate	17	60	2.2	1,830,884	1.6
Professional & Tech. Services	18	55	2.0	2,490,252	2.1
Mngmt of Companies & Enterprises	--	--	--	--	--
Administrative & Waste Services	*	*	*	*	*
Educational Services	*	*	*	*	*
Health Care & Social Assistance	180	239	8.6	3,470,746	3.0
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	*	*	*	*	*
Accommodation & Food Services	29	161	5.8	1,971,555	1.7
Other Svcs, except Public Admin.	22	41	1.5	823,596	0.7
Government	39	1,356	48.8	64,760,103	55.6
Not Elsewhere Classified	18	176	6.3	10,721,307	9.2
Total	433	2,781	100.0	\$116,493,698	100.0

Mr. Cash also cited the population had experienced significant growth since 2010 and that more was projected. Here is the OFM's projections for implementation of the GMA. By 2040 population is only projected to grow by 1,000 individuals. And as most realize, growth is seldom up North, but nearer

services, hospitals and groceries (see line 32). POC is already falling behind these projections.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	PROJECTIONS OF THE TOTAL RESIDENT POPULATION FOR THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT							
2	MEDIUM SERIES: 2010 TO 2040 BY FIVE YEAR INTERVALS							
3								
4		Census			Projections			
5		2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
6	Washington	6,724,540	7,022,200	7,411,977	7,793,173	8,154,193	8,483,628	8,790,981
7	Adams	18,728	20,257	21,640	22,964	24,289	25,690	27,205
8	Asotin	21,623	21,818	22,033	22,196	22,313	22,358	22,356
9	Benton	175,177	184,882	197,806	210,803	223,689	236,007	247,856
10	Chelan	72,453	75,180	78,586	81,885	84,778	87,168	89,246
11	Clallam	71,404	71,868	73,616	75,022	76,112	76,786	77,224
12	Clark	425,363	447,201	477,884	508,124	536,717	562,207	585,137
13	Columbia	4,078	4,047	4,013	3,968	3,895	3,800	3,700
14	Cowlitz	102,410	105,130	108,588	111,706	114,158	115,798	116,897
15	Douglas	38,431	40,603	43,619	46,662	49,583	52,256	54,762
16	Ferry	7,551	7,619	7,706	7,751	7,754	7,740	7,692
17	Franklin	78,163	87,755	100,926	115,142	130,284	146,103	162,900
18	Garfield	2,266	2,238	2,220	2,210	2,202	2,175	2,143
19	Grant	89,120	95,822	104,078	112,525	121,204	129,779	138,337
20	Grays Harbor	72,797	73,575	74,408	75,529	76,428	76,905	77,070
21	Island	78,506	80,337	82,735	85,073	87,621	90,239	93,205
22	Jefferson	29,872	30,469	32,017	33,678	35,657	37,914	40,093
23	King	1,931,249	2,012,782	2,108,814	2,196,202	2,277,160	2,350,576	2,418,850
24	Kitsap	251,133	262,032	275,546	289,265	301,642	311,737	320,475
25	Kittitas	40,915	42,592	45,255	47,949	50,567	53,032	55,436
26	Klickitat	20,318	20,606	20,943	21,225	21,430	21,492	21,439
27	Lewis	75,455	77,621	80,385	82,924	85,165	87,092	88,967
28	Lincoln	10,570	10,616	10,707	10,800	10,865	10,862	10,817
29	Mason	60,699	63,203	67,545	71,929	76,401	80,784	84,919
30	Okanogan	41,120	42,230	43,163	43,978	44,619	45,127	45,707
31	Pacific	20,920	20,860	20,990	21,261	21,495	21,736	22,042
32	Pend Oreille	13,001	13,289	13,692	13,977	14,129	14,149	14,116

Note: Letter writers who participated in the 2014 campaign were under the impression that their letters would be included in this effort as well. This is why you have so few letters and shouldn't be construed as acceptance of a slighter footprint for rezone. The issue for locals remains the 5 acres. We have no objection to the farm being sold as a farm, or in 20 acre farm plots.

Testimony Clarification: Mr. Cash stated this evening that he didn't understand why the County would ask Mr. Henrie pay recompense taxes ahead of the Wasatch project approval. In 2014 he said it was "putting the cart before the horse". *Director Lithgow can confirm that funding ahead of the approval was not required, but was voluntary.* Taking the parcels out of the favorable tax status wouldn't normally have occurred until approval of the project.

And last. The beauty of North Pend Oreille County, and the quietude that exists here is what compels visitation. The director of the Selkirk loop identified (letter of 2013 to POC) that it's the natural landscape that draws tourists to us, "traditional scenery, farms and farm animals".

If you're inclined to see it again, the 3m video by resident Patty White showing the Old Boy's Ranch is available at www.oldboysranch.blogspot.com

In gratitude for the time you devote to preserving the rural character of Pend Oreille County, and planning for her future.
Susan Hobbs